

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,330	03/23/2001	John A. Zaia	1954-333	4689
6449 7.	590 10/03/2002			
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			SCHEINER, LAURIE A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٠						
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/815,330	ZAIA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shanon Foley	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed im of thirty (30) days will be considered tir (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thic come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u> March 2001</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	l.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	ion of Claims					
4)区	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
د/ ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	· · · ————					
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	ologion requiremen	•			
•	ion Papers	election requiremen	ι.			
· · _	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	а).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Exan	niner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper otice of Informal Patent Application (ther:			

Application/Control Number: 09/815,330

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 and 2, drawn to a mutant cytomegalovirus (CMV) pp65 protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- II. Claims 3-5 and 14-16, drawn to a DNA encoding a mutant CMV pp65 protein, classified in class 536, subclass 23.72.
- III. Claims 6, 7, 20, and 21, drawn to a vaccine comprising a mutant CMV pp65 protein, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- IV. Claims 8, 9, 20, and 21, drawn to a cellular vaccine, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- V. Claims 10-13, 20, and 21, drawn to a DNA vaccine, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- VI. Claims 17-21, drawn to a recombinant live virus vaccine, classified in class 424, subclass 199.1.
- VII. Claim 22, drawn to a diagnostic reagent for detecting CMV infection, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in the MPEP § 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to <u>different</u> products, restriction is deemed to be proper because these products of groups I and II constitute apparently distinct inventions for the following reasons: the proteins of group I and DNA of group II are separately classified

Application/Control Number: 09/815,330

Art Unit: 1648

and having separate fields of search because each are distinct in primary sequence, chemical structure, and molecular weight. Also, neither product requires the other for existence, as each can be made synthetically.

Inventions III, IV, V, VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are drawn to different vaccines comprising structurally and functionally unrelated components. Each of the vaccine components has a unique processing method and affect on the immune system. Also, each of the products in each vaccine is classified separately, requiring a separate search for each product.

Inventions I, II and III, V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the DNA of group II can be used to transfect cells or used in hybridization assays and the protein of group I can be used in ELISA assays or used to make antibodies.

Inventions IV and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the cellular product can be used as a vaccine (group II) or a

Application/Control Number: 09/815,330

Art Unit: 1648

detection reagent (group VII). In addition, the cells of group II can be treated with different pp65 protein mutants, while the cells of group VII are transfected with pp65mII mutant only.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Scheiner whose telephone number is (703) 308-1122. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (703) 308-4027. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 for regular communications and (703) 308-4426 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Laurie Scheiner September 23, 2002

JAMES HOUSEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600